

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/663.011 09/15/2003		09/15/2003	Reza Stegamat	E3311.0002	1732			
32172	7590	11/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER			
DICKSTE			WILLIAMS	WILLIAMS, JOSEPH L				
1177 AVEN NEW YOR		HE AMERICAS (6T	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
NEW YOR	K, INT II	J030-2/14		2879				

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/663,011		STEGAMAT, REZA				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Joseph L. W	illiams	2879				
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this commu				orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
2a) ☐ This action 3) ☐ Since thi	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 September 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Cla	aims								
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s) Application Paper 9) ☐ The spect 10) ☐ The draw Applicant Replacem	1-25 is/are pending in the e above claim(s) 1-12 and a is/are allowed. 13-22 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restricts rs ification is objected to by the tring(s) filed on is/are may not request that any objected to reduce the tring sheet(s) including or declaration is objected to the tring sheet(s) including or declaration is objected to the restrict of the tring sheet(s) including or declaration is objected to the restrict of the restrict o	ction and/or one Examiner. a: a) accepted accep	election required or b)	uirement. objected to by the Ended in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF				
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Information Disc	nces Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (losure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) I Date <u>9/03, 10/06</u> .			Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/663,011

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-12 and 23-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 27 September 2006.

Please note that claims 23-25 were not part of the original restriction requirement. However, because the claims are directed towards the same invention as that of claims 1-12, for the purpose of this examination, claims 23-25 have been grouped with claims 1-12 and thus are considered withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 13-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ishii et al. (US 6,520,821).

Regarding claim 13, Ishii ('821) teaches in figure 1b and the corresponding column and line numbers, an apparatus comprising a substrate (1) at least one active

Art Unit: 2879

element (2, 3, 4), the active element fabricated on the substrate; and an encapsulation cap (5) attached to the substrate protecting and covering the element from environmental exposure, and wherein the encapsulation cap traps gas (nitrogen in area (6)) between the element and the encapsulation cap.

Further regarding claim 13 the Examiner notes that the claim limitation that the element has been exposed to an exposure environment containing moisture in the form of water vapor for a specified period of time prior to the encapsulation cap being bonded is drawn to a process of manufacturing, which is incidental to the claimed apparatus. It is well established that a claimed apparatus cannot be distinguished over the prior art by a process limitation. Consequently, absent a showing of an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art, the subject product by process claim limitation is not afforded patentable weight (see MPEP 2113).

Regarding claim 14, Ishii ('821) teaches the gas mixture contains nitrogen.

Regarding claims 15 and 16, the claimed limitations are drawn to a process of manufacturing, which is incidental to the claimed apparatus. It is well established that a claimed apparatus cannot be distinguished over the prior art by a process limitation.

Consequently, absent a showing of an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art, the subject product by process claim limitation is not afforded patentable weight (see MPEP 2113).

Regarding claim 17, Ishii ('821) teaches the gas mixture contains nitrogen.

Regarding claim 18, Ishii ('821) teaches the active element comprises at least one emissive layer, the element causing said emissive layer to emit light using an applied electric potential.

Regarding claim 19, Ishii ('821) teaches an anode layer (2), the anode layer transporting holes to the emissive layer (3); a cathode layer (4a, 4b), the cathode layer transporting electrons to the emissive layer, the transported holes and electrons recombining in said emissive layer to cause said emissive layer to emit light.

Regarding claim 20, Ishii ('821) teaches emissive layer is composed of an at least partially organic material.

Regarding claims 21 and 22, the claimed limitations are drawn to a process of manufacturing, which is incidental to the claimed apparatus. It is well established that a claimed apparatus cannot be distinguished over the prior art by a process limitation.

Consequently, absent a showing of an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art, the subject product by process claim limitation is not afforded patentable weight (see MPEP 2113).

Contact Information

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph L. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-2465. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joseph L. Williams Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879